

HAROLD H. DAVIS, JR. (SBN 235552)  
[harold.davis@klgates.com](mailto:harold.davis@klgates.com)  
SHARONI S. FINKELSTEIN (SBN 271829)  
[sharoni.finkelstein@klgates.com](mailto:sharoni.finkelstein@klgates.com)  
SETH A. GOLD (SBN 163220)  
[seth.gold@klgates.com](mailto:seth.gold@klgates.com)  
CHRISTINA N. GOODRICH (SBN 261722)  
[christina.goodrich@klgates.com](mailto:christina.goodrich@klgates.com)  
**K&L GATES LLP**  
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Tel: (415) 882-8200  
Fax: (415) 882-8220

Attorneys for Defendants CYBERLINK CORP.,  
PERFECT CORP. (CALIFORNIA), PERFECT  
CORP. (CAYMAN ISLANDS)

Otto O. Lee, CA Bar No. 173987  
[olee@iplg.com](mailto:olee@iplg.com)  
Kevin Viau, CA Bar No. 275556  
[kviau@iplg.com](mailto:kviau@iplg.com)  
Bonnie J. Wolf, CA Bar No. 284872  
[bonniewolf@iplg.com](mailto:bonniewolf@iplg.com)  
**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP LLP**  
12 South First Street, 12th Floor  
San Jose, California 95113  
Tel: (408) 286-8933  
Fax: (408) 286-8932

Attorneys for Plaintiff ARCSOFT INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARCSOFT INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CYBERLINK CORP., a Taiwan, R.O.C.  
corporation, PERFECT CORP., a California  
corporation, and PERFECT CORP., a Cayman  
Islands corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-CV-03707-WHO

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
FILE REPLY RE: ARCSOFT'S  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION;  
[PROPOSED] ORDER**

**STIPULATION**

Per L. R. 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Arcsoft Inc. (“Arcsoft”) and Defendants Cyberlink Corp., Perfect Corp. (California), and Perfect Corp. (Cayman Islands) (collectively “Defendants” and, together with Arcsoft, the “Parties”) hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the approval of the Court, and jointly submit this Stipulation as follows:

1. On September 1, 2015, Arcsoft filed its Motion for Preliminary Injunction [Dkt. # 10-16] and supporting materials (collectively, the “Motion”).

2. The Parties previously stipulated, and the Court ordered, that Defendants’ deadline to file an opposition to the Motion would be extended from September 15, 2015 to November 10, 2015.

3. Pursuant thereto, Defendants filed their opposition to the Motion along with numerous supporting papers on November 10, 2015, rendering Arcsoft’s reply papers due November 24, 2015.

4. Arcsoft’s senior attorney, Otto O. Lee, Esq., is presently out of the country on business and personal affairs, and will not be returning to work in the United States until November 23, 2015.

5. On November 16, 2015, the Court postponed the hearing on Arcsoft’s Motion from December 9, 2015 to December 16, 2015.

6. Given the foregoing and in consideration of the upcoming Thanksgiving holiday, the Parties have agreed and hereby stipulate that the deadline for Arcsoft to file its reply brief in support of its Motion for Preliminary Injunction shall be moved from November 24, 2015 to December 1, 2015.

7. This is Arcsoft’s first request for an extension regarding the filing of its reply brief. The stipulated extension shall not affect any other case date or deadline, including the December 16, 2015 hearing of Arcsoft’s Motion – Arcsoft’s reply is to be filed 15 days prior to said hearing, which accords with ordinary motion scheduling under L.R. 7.

Now, therefore, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

A. Arcsoft's time to file a reply brief in support of its Motion for a Preliminary Injunction is moved to on or before December 1, 2015.

IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

Dated: November 17, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kevin Viau

Otto O. Lee  
Kevin Viau  
Bonnie J. Wolf  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP LLP  
12 South First Street, 12th Floor  
San Jose, California 95113  
Tel: (408) 286-8933  
Fax: (408) 286-8932

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Arcsoft Inc.*

/s/ Harold H. Davis

Harold H. Davis  
Sharoni S. Finkelstein  
Seth A. Gold  
Christina N. Goodrich  
K&L GATES LLP  
4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Tel: (415) 882-8200  
Fax: (415) 882-8220

*Attorneys for Defendants Cyberlink Corp.,  
Perfect Corp. (California), Perfect Corp.  
(Cayman Islands)*

**SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

I hereby attest pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5.1 that concurrence in the electronic filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory.

Dated: November 17, 2015

/s/ Kevin Viau

Kevin Viau

[PROPOSED] ORDER

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: November \_\_\_, 2015

---

The Honorable William H. Orrick  
Judge of the U.S. District Court